



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/774,246 02/06/2004		Eric Sven-Johan Swildens	60095-0050	9105
29989	7590 01/03/2006	EXAMINER		
	PALERMO TRUONG &	HU, JINSONG		
2055 GATEW	AY PLACE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 550			ARTONII	PAPER NUMBER
SAN JOSE, C	A 95110	2154		

DATE MAILED: 01/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.		Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary			10/774,246		SWILDENS ET AL.			
		-	Examiner		Art Unit			
			Jinsong Hu		2154			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appe	ars on the cover	sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	idress		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr o period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DA s of 37 CFR 1.136 nunication. atutory period will will, by statute, o	TE OF THIS CC 6(a). In no event, howe I apply and will expire seause the application to	OMMUNICATION ever, may a reply be time SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•		
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 31 Aug	gust 2004.					
2a)□			action is non-fina	al.				
3)	Since this application is in condition	e this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the a	application.						
=	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or	election requirer	nent.				
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
· —	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:			ected to by the E	xaminer.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correctio	n is required if the	e drawing(s) is obje	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Exa	miner. Note the	attached Office	Action or form P	ΓΟ-152.		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	for foreign p	oriority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-	-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies	of the priorit	y documents ha	ve been receive	d in this National	Stage		
	application from the Internation		•	. ,,				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action	n for a list of	f the certified co	pies not received	d.			
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			Interview Summary (
2) ∭ Notic 3) ⊠ Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or	PTO-948) PTO/SR/08\		Paper No(s)/Mail Dat Notice of Informal Pa	te itent Application (PT(O-152)		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/17/04</u> . 6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/774,246 Page 1

Art Unit: 2154

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-40 are presented for examination.

2. Claim 31-40 are objected to for a exist typo [i.e., "aand" should be "and" on line 3]. Correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

- 4. Claims 1-9, 11-19, 21-29 and 31-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Law et al. (US 6,330,602).
- 5. Law is a prior art reference cited by applicant on 1449 form, dated to 6/17/04.

Art Unit: 2154

- 6. As per claim 1, Law teaches the invention as claimed including a machine implemented method, comprising of providing sending a Web page resident on a customer Web server to a requesting user, said the Web page including static content represented by an embedded URL [Fig. 1; col. 1, lines 16-22 & 32-45; col. 7, lines 7-21]; and wherein the static content is served by a plurality of Web caches [92, Fig. 9] within a POP server network [col. 8, lines 24-38].
- 7. As per claim 2, Law teaches the step of determining traffic loads of a plurality of customer Web servers using a probe server; selecting the customer Web server from the plurality of customer Web servers using a DNS server, the customer Web server having a traffic load more appropriate for a user request 5than traffic loads of other customer Web servers in the plurality of customer Web servers; and sending the user request for the Web page to the customer web server [44, 48, Fig. 4; 90, Fig. 9; col. 6, lines 29-35; col. 8, lines 9-13 & 24-51].
- 8. As per claims 3-4, Law teaches the traffic loads including latency measurements between the probe server and the plurality of customer Web servers, and measuring traffic loads at predetermined intervals [col. 5, lines 30-35; col. 11, lines 8-19].
- 9. As per claim 5, Law teaches the step of determining service metrics of the plurality of Web caches using a probe server; selecting a Web cache from the

Application/Control Number: 10/774,246

Art Unit: 2154

plurality of Web caches using a DNS server, the Web cache having service metrics more appropriate for a user request from the Web page than service metrics of other Web caches in the plurality of Web caches [col. 8, lines 24-29]; sending the user request for the static content to the Web cache; and wherein the Web cache sends the static content to the requesting user [col. 8, lines 29-38].

- 10. As per claims 6 and 7, Law teaches the service metrics including metrics selected from HTTP response time, FTP response time, CPU load, memory load and the determining service metrics step performed at predetermined intervals [col. 5, lines 34-35].
- 11. As per claims 8 and 9, Law teaches the step of determining whether the requested static content is resident on the Web cache; determining a customer Web server that has the requested static content when the requested static content is not resident on the Web cache; wherein the Web cache retrieves the requested static content from the customer Web server; and storing the requested static content from the customer Web server on the Web cache [col. 8, lines 14-23].
- 12. As per claims 11-19, since they are method claims of claims 1-9, they are rejected for the same basis as claims 1-9 above.

Application/Control Number: 10/774,246 Page 4

Art Unit: 2154

13. As per claims 21-29, since they are apparatus claims of claims 1-9, they are rejected for the same basis as claims 1-9 above.

14. As per claims 31-39, since they disclose the same steps as claims 1-9 which performed for different contents [i.e., static and dynamic], they are rejected for the same basis as claims 1-9 above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 15. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 16. Claims 10, 20, 30 and 40 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Law et al. (US 6,330,602) as applied to claims 1-9, 11-19, 21-29 and 31-39 above.
- 17. As per claims 10, 20, 30 and 40, Law teaches the invention substantially as claimed in claim 1. Law does not specifically teach the network of POP servers comprising more than one DNS server. However, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include more than one DNS server in Law's system because doing so would

Application/Control Number: 10/774,246

Art Unit: 2154

make the system more reliable. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to modify Law 's system for increasing the reliability of the system.

Conclusion

18. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

McCanne et al. (US 6,415,323) and Kosaka (US 2001/0056486) disclose load balancing system.

19. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jinsong Hu whose telephone number is (571) 272-3965. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM - 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John A. Follansbee can be reached on (571) 272-3964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Application/Control Number: 10/774,246

Art Unit: 2154

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

Page 6

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jinsong Hu

December 22, 2005

JOHN FOLLANSBEE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER